

From: [REDACTED]
To: [West Midlands Interchange](#)
Subject: TR050005
Date: 03 February 2020 11:12:11

Dear Sirs

With reference to the developers VERY late request to change wording in the application, I believe that MUST is very crucial in this application as opposed to SHOULD.

It is in my opinion which is also shared by many others that the developer has absolutely no intention of building a Strategic Rail Freight Interchange but instead just want to build a road based warehousing hub on Greenbelt. Previous applications for warehousing on this land have been refused and so by adding a proposed rail connection they can by pass local planning.

Once they start building this monstrosity and decide they no longer want or can't build the rail connection due to lack of funds and the wording is SHOULD it is giving them a way to wriggle out, whereas MUST is more of an obligation to build.

Our already congested road network cannot cope with the traffic now let alone add another 6000 plus vehicles per day into the mix, I believe this is NOT the right location to build a SRFI or a road based warehousing hub.

Kind regards

Paula Appleby

Sent from my iPhone